Book Review Assessment Rubric

В	OOK REVIEW ASSESSMENT	
CLASS/SEMESTER	:	
NAME/STUDENTS GROUP	:	
NIM	:	

No.	Seering Aspect	Score				Waight	Total
	Scoring Aspect	1	2	3	4	Weight	Total
1 Background						20%	
2	2 Summary					15%	
3	Citation					15%	
4	Critique and Review					30%	
5	Writing Structure					10%	
6	Grammar					10%	

Score Guide Description:

NIM

	Guide Descript		
No.	Indikator	Skor	Keterangan
1	Background	4	Presents a detailed discussion of the author's background and
			writing of the book, explaining why the book needs to be discussed
			scientifically and using selected sources in detail.
		3	Presents a limited discussion of the author's background and the
			writing of the book, explaining why the book needs to be discussed
			scientifically in a limited way and using limited sources.
		2	Presents little discussion of the author's background and writing
		1	of the book and uses few sources.
		1	The discussion of the author's background and the writing of the book is lacking and inadequate.
2	Summary	4	Clearly presents the main issues in the book along with the
	Summary		conclusion of the book. Demonstrates a good understanding of
			the main relevant points and does not get bogged down in very
			detailed passages.
		3	Presents the main problem and conclusion of the book but still
			presents very detailed information from a section of the book.
		2	Presents some parts of the main problem and conclusion of the
			book but lacks understanding of certain parts of the book.
		1	Lacks understanding of the main problem and conclusion of the
			book read and does not understand most parts of the book read.
3	Citation	4	Uses page citations and uses quotes from the book as evidence to
			strengthen or weaken an argument.
		3	Uses quotations by listing pages and makes limited use of
			quotations to strengthen or weaken an argument.
		2	Uses quotations with the page listed but is less able to make
			maximum use of quotations to strengthen or weaken an argument.
		1	Does not include pages and does not use quotations to strengthen
			or weaken an argument.
4	Critique and	4	Provides a critical evaluation of the weaknesses and strengths of
	Review		the book read by showing evidence from within the book or from
			other references that support or weaken an argument.
		3	Critically evaluates the weaknesses and strengths of the book by
			using limited evidence from the book and other references.
		2	Provides a limited evaluation of the weaknesses and strengths of
			the book without providing sufficient evidence or references to
			support or refute an argument.
		1	Lacks evaluation and focuses too much on the summary of the book.
5	Writing	4	The writing is well-organized and easy to read due to the smooth
	Structure	'	transition between each paragraph. The organization of the
	Diractare		discussion is presented in a coherent and logical manner. There is
			a clear indication of the parts of the text.
		3	The writing is well structured so that it is easy to read although
			there are some paragraph transitions that are not appropriate. The
	1	1	paragraph and mat are not appropriate. The

			organization of the discussion is presented logically although there are some parts that are less coherent. There is a clear indication of the parts of the text.
		2	The writing is less well-structured, making it difficult to read. The organization of the discussion is presented in a non-linear and illogical manner. Lacks a clear indication of the parts of the text
		1	The writing is not well structured so that the writing is difficult to read and understand. The structure of the discussion is not presented in a logical manner.
6	Grammar	4	Uses effective sentences with appropriate word choice followed by the use of appropriate punctuation.
		3	Uses the right word choice but has not used effective sentences so that the message in the sentence becomes difficult to capture. Uses proper punctuation.
		2	Less able to use the right word choices less able to use sentences effectively but can use punctuation appropriately.
		1	Less able to use sentences effectively and does not use the right words. There are errors in the use of punctuation

Final Score Calculation Formula:

Final Score =
$$\frac{(20 \times A1) + (15 \times A2) + (15 \times A3) + (30 \times A4)(10 \times A5)(10 \times A6)}{4}$$

Example:

$$Final \, Score = \frac{(10 \times 3) + (15 \times 4) + (15 \times 3) + (30 \times 3)(10 \times 4)(10 \times 4)}{4}$$

$$Final\ Score = \frac{305}{4} = 76,25$$

Score Conversion & Example:

- 1) For example, the score obtained by Student/Group A = $\frac{305 \, (Nilai \, yang \, diperoleh)}{4 \, (Nilai \, Maksimal)} = 76,25$
- 2) The score of 76.25 (Scale 0 100) is converted into a scale of 0 4 using the following formula:

Score (Scale of
$$0-4$$
) = $\frac{Obtained\ Score\ (Skala\ 0-100)}{100\ (Max.\ Score)} \times 4$

Thus:

Score (Scale of 4) =
$$\frac{76,25 \, (Obtained \, Score)}{100 \, (Max.Score)} \times 4 = 3,05$$

3) The number score (on a scale of 1 - 4) is then translated into a letter grade predicate in accordance with the circular of the Faculty of Language and Arts and the 2016 UNIMA Assessment Guidelines, as in the table below:

Scoring Range	Letter Grade
3,60 – 4,00	A
3,00 – 3,59	В
2,00 – 2,99	С
1,00 – 1,99	D
0 – 0,99	E

Based on the conversion results above, students in group A Predicate B with a score of 3.05